

R E M A R K S

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner for the interview, at which time changes to claims, as herein presented, were discussed.

Regarding the '112 issue, changes in claim terminology have been made, at relevant locations, to cure alleged indefiniteness. Claim 38 has been cancelled, along with claims 2, 24, 26, 29 and 39.

The contents of claim 29, not rejected on prior art, together with contents of claim 24 (now cancelled) on which 29 depended, have been incorporated in base claim 23, whereby that claim 23 and all claims dependent therefrom are believed and urged to be allowable.

Gertzson's spring 16 is a locking spring and does not urge a rotary member (for example 13 or 14) in a direction tending to wind a secondary line on a rotary member. Also, Gertzson alone, or together with other cited art, does not teach the totality of g) and h) of base claim 23, or the totality of a)----h) of claim 23, or of any claim dependent therefrom, and there is no motivation to reconstruct Gertzson, removing the locking function of his spring, in any cited art, to arrive at the totality of claim 23 or any claim dependent therefrom. In addition, neither Gertzson or

Serial No. 10/743,178

Kuhar have or suggest use of applicants' secondary line connected to multiple primary lines to transmit loading of such primary lines to a rotary member urged in a winding direction by a spring.


Base claims 1, 20, 40 and 47 have been similarly amended to include elements as at g) and h) in claim 23 (elements of claims 24 and 29 not rejected on cited art), and should be allowable together with all claims dependent therefrom.

A Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed herewith.

Claims herein are believed and urged to be needed to more comprehensively protect the invention.

Formal allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


William W. Haefliger
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 17,120
(323) 684-2707

WWH:ts
Docket 12,569